Holotube virtuel Seninar October 4, 2022

based on : See also 2111,03973 -/ Akash Jain Kristan Jensen (Victoria)

Fractors challenge long-held beliefs concerning the simplicity and universality of low-energy EFT.

meter which seem difficult to describe w/ QFT in the continuum limit.

Usuel approach :

· Coarse grein a complicated system e.j. lattice QCD, string compactification, etc.

		-	
			_)

- · get EFT description et relevant dots
- Microscopic details \_\_\_\_\_ [1000-energy constants irrelevent operators
- Now, a Spin system that seems to dety us.

$$H = \alpha \sum_{c} A_{c} + \beta \sum_{v,i} B_{v}^{i} \qquad (A_{c}, A_{c}) = [A_{c}, B_{v}^{i}] = [\delta_{v}^{i}, B_{v}^{j}] = 0$$
  

$$Simul tenesusly diegenelist e$$

ground States Jetisty A=B=-1 DC, V, i \_\_\_\_ strong constraint.

() Oround Stote degeneracy is 
$$UU - sensitive,$$
  
does not depend on topology (old  $d \sim 2^{2g-2}$ )  
 $d \sim y^{2} planes$   
 $= 2^{2L_{x}+2L_{y}+2L_{z}-3}$   $S(\tau \rightarrow 0) \sim L$ 

Excitations of restricted mobility. (finite energy) 2. · frecton : Stuck et e link

- · linean : can move along a line of the lattice
- ·planeon: can move in a plane

(3.) Subsystem Symmetry. Ordinary spin models at zero field  
invariant under 
$$\vec{\sigma}_{v} = -\vec{\sigma}_{v}$$
.  
K-cube invariant under Spin flips that act independently  
on planes.  $\vec{\sigma}_{v} \to f(plane) \vec{\sigma}_{v}$ .

$$\begin{array}{l} (1) \stackrel{1}{1} \stackrel{1}{2} \stackrel{1}{2} e consequence of  $\stackrel{1}{2} \stackrel{1}{2} \stackrel{1}{2}$$$

$$S = \int d + d^{d} \chi \left\{ i \overline{\phi} \partial_{\chi} d + \mu |\phi|^{2} - 2Re\left(\lambda \overline{\phi}^{2} \overline{\rho}_{::}(\overline{\phi}, \overline{\phi})\right) + \dots \right\}$$

$$f$$

$$e + pend eround \qquad small$$

But: any loop venishes under dim reg.

$$\cap$$

Quertic interections genuete self-energy, attenuere high momentum behaviour O

× In her, then we array folls.  
Dyple symmetry acrs as 
$$G(x) - G(z+d)$$
  
 $E(z) - E(z+d)$   
 $G(z, n_z) = \overline{\varphi}(z, |\varphi|) \varphi(z_z) \rightarrow e^{i\overline{z} \cdot (\overline{z}_z + \overline{z})} \overline{\varphi}(x_z) \varphi(x_z))$   
G depends on  $\overline{z} \Rightarrow dipole 558 \overline{z}$ .  
This numbers State.  
So  $\overline{z} = \frac{1}{2}$   
is Give great atom presents for dipole 558.  
This numbers State.  
So  $\overline{z} = \frac{1}{2}$   
Is a lattice regularization,  $\overline{z} \in B\overline{z}$ , so cal (2n) - cal (82).  
 $\overline{z} = \overline{z}_{place} N_{sites} = \overline{z}^{(s)}$   
with  $(d\overline{z}) - (\frac{1}{2})^2 \forall n N_{sites}$   
- UV) IR mixing, sonstrike to benize at first an excell  
symmetry form, zero make first arege.  
- (up) solution of large  $\overline{z}$  0.  
Weise done of home, e.g.  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i} dipole - Subjects$   
 $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \frac$ 

THANK YOU O