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## Multiboundary Wormholes (MbW) in AdS

- Empty $A d S_{3}$ as a solution of Einstein's equation with constant negative curvature has maximal amount of symmetry.
- All other variants of solutions in $A d S_{3}$ can be realised by removing symmetries through quotienting or orbifolding the empty $A d S_{3}$.
- MbW geometries in $A d S_{3}$ are geometries where independent CFTs live at the boundaries of the exits and are connected by the wormhole geometry.
- Removing semicircles from a time-slice of empty $A d S_{3}$ and identifying the geodesic boundary of the removed semicircles give rise to new boundaries. (Also a way to realise BTZ from empty $A d S_{3}$ )





Poincaré UHP


Poincaré UHP



Poincaré UHP


Poincaré disk

- Length of all the throat horizons can be tuned independently.
- Explicitly shown for Lorentzian three boundary construction.
- Genus can be understood as identifying two semicircles from the opposite sides of the smaller concentric semicircle in the Poincaré UHP.
- A general ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{g}$ ) wormhole construction possible using these machineries. (Ref. Caceres, Kundu, Patra, Sashi, JHEP (0ı) 2020).
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## MbW model of Island

- Consider a MbW topology changing with time . \# of exits ( n ) $\sim \mathrm{t}$.
- All of these are assumed to have a larger length compared to the AdS radius and one of them to have a length much longer than the rest.
- Topology change at each unit of time and each snapshot is a valid time-reflection symmetric scenario (V/ solution of Einstein's equation individually, not dynamically).
- Start from a single pure state black hole (bigger exit, keeps shrinking).
- Initial HRT choice $\rightarrow n \ell$. Later choice $L_{0}^{\prime}$ (shrinking BH throat horizon).
- $L_{0}^{\prime}$ Shrinks in what rate with n ? $\rightarrow$ Information Conservation $L \sim \sqrt{E}$ for $A d S_{3} \rightarrow L_{0}^{\prime}=\sqrt{L_{0}^{2}-n \ell^{2}}$.
- Before Page time, HRT choice length is $n \ell$, afterwards $L_{0}^{\prime}$.
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## Holographic EoP

- Measure of entanglement for a given mixed state between parts of it (the compliment is unknown $\rightarrow$ all possible purification).
- Assume the mixed state is denoted by AB. Then EoP measures entanglement between A and B (Von Neumann entropy can only measure if AB is pure).
- Procedure $\rightarrow A B+A^{\prime} B^{\prime}=$ Pure. Among all possible primed choices , choose one that minimises Von Neumann entropy between $A A^{\prime}$ and $B B^{\prime}$.


$$
\Delta_{n(P)}\left(\rho_{A_{1} A_{2} \ldots \ldots A_{n}}\right)=\min _{|\psi\rangle_{A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime} A_{2} A_{2}^{\prime} \ldots \ldots A_{n} A_{n}^{\prime}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{A_{i} A_{i}^{\prime}} .}
$$

- Holographic dual of EoP $\rightarrow$ Entanglement Wedge Cross Section (Takayanagi, Umemoto).
- AB is a mixed state, geometric geodesic are divided into $A^{\prime} B^{\prime} \rightarrow$ Geometric Pure state.
- Bulk geodesics are analogue of wormhole body and EWCS is analogue of BTZ throat horizon.
- A and B in the boundary are similar to the two exits of BTZ. Also, similar to the geodesics, the wormhole body joins the mixed states at different exits to yield a pure state ( n fold entangled state).
- Extending the analogy to multipartite case ??
- Starting point : Holographic dual of Multipartite EoP (Umemoto) .

- Tripartite EoP
- The orange dotted lines by themselves close.properties checked.

- Three boundary Wormhole.
- Orange lines do not close by themselves.


## Multipartite EoP and Island

- In the most general setting, the island contains regions from identifying geodesics, but the MEoP doesn't .
- In large n (\# of smaller exits)limit, they do match.
- Boundary of island ~MEoP (conjecture).
- There are a few subtleties involved if considered so.
- Apply the quantum extremal surface procedure without the bulk Von Neumann entropy term?
$\bullet$

$$
S_{\text {out }}[R](\text { new })=\min _{i}
$$




Questions?

## Questions?

- Boundary of the island has both $n \ell$ and $L_{0}^{\prime}$ terms in it, keeps growing after Page time. (Contradiction?)
- In the MbW picture, it is crucial to model the radiation as a multipartite state to get island-like situation. Other possibility $\rightarrow$ Take three boundary wormhole with one big ( keeps decreasing) and two small (keep increasing ) exits.
- For less number of smaller exits, the $n \ell$ term will always be the minimal HRT.
- Should we consider Multipartite EoP ?


## Resolutions

- Model specific problem, ultimately do not consider radiation as multipartite. BH + Radiation = Bipartite.
- Over counting, previous entanglement due to $n \ell$ is purified at later times.
- More on bipartite-ness : The smaller exits ( $\ell \ll L_{0}$ ) means all of them are not entangled with each other in this model, only entangle with the BH state. (Balasubramanian, Hayden, Maloney, Marolf, Ross. 2014)
- The HRT surfaces at later times are the right choice, naive application of QES formula (even with just the bulk minimal surface term) leads to over counting.


## Volume dual to HRTs

- Alishahiha (2015) proposed the volume below the HRTs to be dual to mixed state Complexity.
- In $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$, the volume change, due to same choices of boundary region, but different HRTs, is purely topological. (Erdmenger te al. 2018)
- The volume integral can be written in a form that only knows about the geodesic curvature and the the Euler characteristics $\chi$ of the bulk region depending upon the choice of HRT.
- A smooth transition in the entanglement entropy plot depending upon the choice of HRT corresponds to a finite $2 \pi$ jump in the subregion complexity plot due to the change of the Euler characteristic.

$\mathcal{C}(A)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} R d \sigma=\int_{\partial \Sigma} k_{g} d s-2 \pi \chi(\Sigma)$,
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## Volume dual to MBW model

- We deal with the MbW model by using lessons from the topological complexity results.
- This is a Multiboundary wormhole, not a simple BH. But lessons from pure AdS can be used.
- The Euler characteristics and Gauss-Bonnet theorem again plays a role.
- In this case, we study two models, one three boundary and one $n$ boundary wormhole.
- In case of the three boundary model, there is no topology change. The black hole exit shrinks and two radiation exits increase in size throughout the evaporation.






$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{0}^{\prime}= & \sqrt{L_{0}^{2}-2 L_{1}^{2}} \\
& \left(L_{1}=L_{2}\right) \\
& \text { for all } t .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Volume plots :3 dy




## Volume plots:n-bdy



## Volume plots: n - bdy



- For 3-bdy model, causal shadow is hyperbolic octagon. $2 \pi$ volume gets added at the time of island inclusion.
- For $n$-bdy, causal shadow is hyperbolic $4\left(n_{\text {page }}-1\right)$ gon, $\left(n_{\text {page }}-2\right) \times 2 \pi$ volume gets added.
- For $n$ boundary model, the volumes are numerically approximated and the functional approximations are always within one of the two above shown universality classes. The nature of the plots are universal.


## Lessons

- We derive a Page curve analogue plot for subregion complexity. (Radiation POV)
- The smooth transition point in Page curve is realised by a finite jump (dip) in volume for radiation (BH).
- Although the Page curve is same for radiation and BH, the volume plot is not, reflecting the difference of difficulty in producing corresponding time dependent states.
- The volume plots for both the models show similar plots. Both have two variants. ("London bridge falling" and "What goes up must come down")
- The volume added at the point of island inclusion matches multipartite purification complexity. (Previously studied by Caceres et al and Maxfield et al)
- The islands lie in the entanglement shadow (behind all the throat horizons) and dual to Multipartite EoP in MbW models.
- Since EoP-Quantum error correction connections are well studied, this strengthens the island-QEC connections.


## Other lessons \& Way forward

- Tensor Network: Topological subregion complexity change reproduced by tensor network studies (using 2d Ising). Can the same be done for MBW jump in volume?
- For area, compute number of tensor/bonds cutting the minimal surface. For volume, total number of tensor bonds (along with associated costs) below the minimal surface.
- Kinematic Space: In AdS3/CFT2, bulk areas and volumes can be reproduced in terms of boundary entanglement entropies using all the bulk geodesics connecting two points in the boundary.
- Area: Number of geodesics crossing the minimal one. Volume: number of geodesics $\times$ cord lengths.
- Which sets of geodesics contribute to area and volumes in MBW model at different times?


