Dark Holograms and Gravitational Waves

Alessio Caddeo

University of Florence

Based on:

F. Bigazzi, AC, A. L. Cotrone, A. Paredes, 2008.02579 F. Bigazzi, AC, A. L. Cotrone, A. Paredes, to appear

HoloTube Junior, 26th-30th October 2020

- 2015: LIGO and VIRGO observe a signal from gravitational waves (GWs) produced by two merging black holes
- GW experiments will span a wide range of frequencies in the near future
- Cosmological first-order phase transitions (PT) source GWs
- No first-order phase transitions in the Standard Model
- Several Beyond-Standard Model scenarios involve strongly-coupled hidden gauge sectors where first-order phase transitions take place

We use holography to study the gravitational waves produced in these first-order PTs

- 2015: LIGO and VIRGO observe a signal from gravitational waves (GWs) produced by two merging black holes
- GW experiments will span a wide range of frequencies in the near future
- Cosmological first-order phase transitions (PT) source GWs
- No first-order phase transitions in the Standard Model
- Several Beyond-Standard Model scenarios involve strongly-coupled hidden gauge sectors where first-order phase transitions take place

We use holography to study the gravitational waves produced in these first-order PTs

- Cosmological first-order PTs
- Review of the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto (WSS) model
- Gravitational waves from confinement PT
- Gravitational waves from chiral PT

• Cosmological first-order PTs

- Review of the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto (WSS) model
- Gravitational waves from confinement PT
- Gravitational waves from chiral PT

GW from first-order PT in a nutshell



GW from first-order PT in a nutshell



Bubbles of true vacuum nucleate in the plasma

Efficiency of the transition:

- Important ratio: Γ/H^4
- Γ = bubble nucleation rate
- H(t) = Hubble scale

Three sources of GWs:

- Bubble collisions
- Sound waves from the plasma
- Turbulence of the plasma

GW from first-order PT in a nutshell

Known formulae for the GW spectra in terms of few relevant parameters to be derived from a microscopic theory [Caprini et al. '15, Caprini et al. '19]:

- Nucleation, percolation and reheating temperatures T_n , T_p
- PT inverse duration β :

$$\beta = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma}{dt} \qquad (\Gamma = Ae^{-S_B} \text{ [Coleman '77]})$$

- Released energy α and reheating temperature T_R
- Number of relativistic d.o.f., velocity of the bubble walls

The formulae for the GW spectra involve several approximations

Top-down holography for reliable computations in the strongly-coupled regime

- Cosmological first-order PTs
- Review of the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto (WSS) model
- Gravitational waves from confinement PT
- Gravitational waves from chiral PT

• Cosmological first-order PTs

• Review of the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto (WSS) model

- Gravitational waves from confinement PT
- Gravitational waves from chiral PT

Holographic Yang-Mills-like theory [Witten '98]

Backreaction of N D4-branes wrapped on S^1 with inverse radius M_{KK} :

$$ds^{2} = \left(\frac{u}{R}\right)^{3/2} \left(dt^{2} + dx^{i} dx^{i} + f(u) dx_{4}^{2}\right) + \left(\frac{R}{u}\right)^{3/2} \frac{du^{2}}{f(u)} + R^{3/2} u^{1/2} d\Omega_{4}^{2}$$
$$f(u) = 1 - \frac{u_{0}^{3}}{u^{3}} \qquad u_{0} = \frac{4}{9} R^{3} M_{KK}^{2}$$

Physics encoded in the cigar geometry $(\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N)$

- Mass gap ~ M_{KK}
- Confinement: $g_{00}(u_0) \neq 0$
- The free energy density is

$$f_{conf} = -\frac{1}{3^7 \pi^2} \lambda N^2 M_{KK}^4$$

• Dominant at low temperatures



High-temperature phase

At high temperatures the dominant background is the black hole one:

$$ds^{2} = \left(\frac{u}{R}\right)^{3/2} \left(f_{T}(u)dt^{2} + dx^{i}dx^{i} + dx_{4}^{2}\right) + \left(\frac{R}{u}\right)^{3/2}\frac{du^{2}}{f(u)} + R^{3/2}u^{1/2}d\Omega_{4}^{2}$$
$$f_{T}(u) = 1 - \frac{u_{T}^{3}}{u^{3}} \qquad u_{T} = \frac{16}{9}\pi^{2}R^{3}T^{2}$$

- Deconfinement: $g_{00}(u_0) = 0$
- The free energy density is

$$f_{deconf} = -\frac{2^6\pi^4}{3^7}\lambda N^2 \frac{T^6}{M_{KK}^2}$$

• First-order phase transition at temperature $T_c = M_{KK}/2\pi$



Flavours [Sakai, Sugimoto '04]:

Quark flavours introduced by $N_f D8/\overline{D8}$ pairs with a profile $x_4 = x_4(u)$

- \bullet Weyl fermions from D4/D8 and $D4/\overline{D8}$ strings
- If $N_f \ll N$, probe approximation: DBI action

$$S_{DBI} = -T_8 \int d^9 x \sqrt{-\det(g_{ab} + 2\pi lpha' F_{ab})}$$

- Branes bound to join in the IR: chiral symmetry breaking
- Decay constant $f_{\chi}^2 \sim \lambda NM_{KK}^2$
- Chiral symmetry restoration at $T>T_{c}=M_{KK}/2\pi$



Confinement PT implies chiral symmetry PT

Flavours [Sakai, Sugimoto '04]:

Quark flavours introduced by $N_f D8/\overline{D8}$ pairs with a profile $x_4 = x_4(u)$

- \bullet Weyl fermions from D4/D8 and $D4/\overline{D8}$ strings
- If $N_f \ll N$, probe approximation: DBI action

$$S_{DBI} = -T_8 \int d^9 x \sqrt{-\det(g_{ab} + 2\pi lpha' F_{ab})}$$

- Branes bound to join in the IR: chiral symmetry breaking
- Decay constant $f_{\chi}^2 \sim \lambda NM_{KK}^2$
- Chiral symmetry restoration at $T>T_{c}=M_{KK}/2\pi$



Confinement PT implies chiral symmetry PT

Alessio Caddeo

Flavours in non-antipodal configuration

The $D8/\overline{D8}$ pairs can be placed in a non-antipodal configuration [Antonyan et al. '06, Aharony et al. '07]

- Decay constant $f_{\chi,L}^2 \sim N\lambda/M_{KK}L^3$ (L free parameter)
- Holographic Axion [Bigazzi, AC, Cotrone, Di Vecchia. Marzolla '19]



Confinement and chiral symmetry PTs are separated!

Flavours in non-antipodal configuration

The $D8/\overline{D8}$ pairs can be placed in a non-antipodal configuration [Antonyan et al. '06, Aharony et al. '07]

- Decay constant $f_{\chi,L}^2 \sim N\lambda/M_{KK}L^3$ (L free parameter)
- Holographic Axion [Bigazzi, AC, Cotrone, Di Vecchia. Marzolla '19]



Confinement and chiral symmetry PTs are separated!

- Cosmological first-order PTs
- Review of the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto (WSS) model
- Gravitational waves from confinement PT
- Gravitational waves from chiral PT

- Cosmological first-order PTs
- Review of the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto (WSS) model
- Gravitational waves from confinement PT
- Gravitational waves from chiral PT

In principle: solution of 10d SUGRA (challenging!)

- Effective approach: transition described by a single field [Creminelli, Nicolis, Rattazzi '01] in Randall-Sundrum context
- $u_0 \sim M_h^2$ and $u_T \sim T_h^2$ promoted to functions of a radial coordinate ho

Using holographic renormalisation: $(g = \lambda N^2)$

$$\frac{S_3}{\overline{T}} = \frac{32\pi^4 g}{3^5 \overline{T}} \int_0^\infty d\overline{\rho} \, \overline{\rho}^2 \left[\left(5 - \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \, \Phi'^2 + V(\Phi) \right]$$
$$V(\Phi) = \frac{16\pi^2}{9} \left[\left(5\Phi^3 - \frac{3}{\pi} \Phi^{5/2} \right) \Theta(\Phi) - \left(5\Phi^3 + \frac{3}{\pi} \overline{T}(-\Phi)^{5/2} \right) \Theta(-\Phi) \right]$$
$$(\Phi = T_h^2 / M_{KK}^2 \text{ for } \Phi \ge 0 \text{ and } \Phi = M_h^2 / 4\pi^2 M_{KK}^2 \text{ for } \Phi \le 0)$$

Byproduct: derivative term in the Randall-Sundrum scenario!

In principle: solution of 10d SUGRA (challenging!)

- Effective approach: transition described by a single field [Creminelli, Nicolis, Rattazzi '01] in Randall-Sundrum context
- $u_0 \sim M_h^2$ and $u_T \sim T_h^2$ promoted to functions of a radial coordinate ho

Using holographic renormalisation: $(g = \lambda N^2)$

$$\frac{S_3}{\overline{T}} = \frac{32\pi^4 g}{3^5 \overline{T}} \int_0^\infty d\overline{\rho} \, \overline{\rho}^2 \left[\left(5 - \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \, \Phi'^2 + V(\Phi) \right]$$
$$V(\Phi) = \frac{16\pi^2}{9} \left[\left(5\Phi^3 - \frac{3}{\pi} \Phi^{5/2} \right) \Theta(\Phi) - \left(5\Phi^3 + \frac{3}{\pi} \overline{T}(-\Phi)^{5/2} \right) \Theta(-\Phi) \right]$$
$$(\Phi = T_h^2 / M_{KK}^2 \text{ for } \Phi \ge 0 \text{ and } \Phi = M_h^2 / 4\pi^2 M_{KK}^2 \text{ for } \Phi \le 0)$$

Byproduct: derivative term in the Randall-Sundrum scenario!

Alessio Caddeo



• Numerical solution of the e.o.m. with boundary conditions:

$$\Phi_B'(0)=0 \qquad \qquad \lim_{
ho
ightarrow\infty} \Phi_B(
ho)=\Phi_{deconf}$$

- Two parameters: M_{KK} and $g = \lambda N^2$
- Thermal/quantum decay: O(3)-bubbles vs. O(4)-bubbles

Bubble nucleation rate from on-shell action [Coleman '77, Linde '81, Linde '83]

$$\Gamma = \operatorname{Max}\left[T^{4}\left(\frac{S_{3}}{2\pi T}\right)^{3/2} e^{-S_{3}/T}, \left(\frac{S_{4}}{2\pi \rho_{w}^{2}}\right)^{2} e^{-S_{4}}\right]$$

Holography allows us to reliably calculate all the parameters needed for the GW spectrum's computation

Plan:

- To explore known dark matter scenarios
- To compute the GW spectra for benchmark parameters' values
- To compare with experimental sensitivity curves

Bubble nucleation rate from on-shell action [Coleman '77, Linde '81, Linde '83]

$$\Gamma = \operatorname{Max}\left[T^{4}\left(\frac{S_{3}}{2\pi T}\right)^{3/2} e^{-S_{3}/T}, \left(\frac{S_{4}}{2\pi \rho_{w}^{2}}\right)^{2} e^{-S_{4}}\right]$$

Holography allows us to reliably calculate all the parameters needed for the GW spectrum's computation

Plan:

- To explore known dark matter scenarios
- To compute the GW spectra for benchmark parameters' values
- To compare with experimental sensitivity curves

Confinement/deconfinement scenarios

Dark QCD 1:

- SU(N) Yang-Mills + N_f flavours
- Dynamical scale: 100 MeV $\lesssim M_{KK} \lesssim$ 100 TeV
- Confinement $\mathsf{PT} \to \mathsf{Chiral} \mathsf{ Symmetry} \mathsf{PT}$

Dark glueballs:

- Pure SU(N) Yang-Mills
- Dynamical scale: 1 KeV $\lesssim M_{KK} \lesssim$ 1 GeV
- Negligible coupling with Standard Model [Breitbach et al. '18] :

$$\xi = \frac{T_D}{T_V} \le 1$$

• GWs may be the only experimental tool to probe these models

Confinement/deconfinement scenarios

Dark QCD 1:

- SU(N) Yang-Mills + N_f flavours
- Dynamical scale: 100 MeV $\lesssim M_{KK} \lesssim$ 100 TeV
- Confinement $\mathsf{PT} \to \mathsf{Chiral} \mathsf{ Symmetry} \mathsf{PT}$

Dark glueballs:

- Pure SU(N) Yang-Mills
- Dynamical scale: 1 KeV $\lesssim M_{KK} \lesssim$ 1 GeV
- Negligible coupling with Standard Model [Breitbach et al. '18] :

$$\xi = \frac{T_D}{T_V} \le 1$$

• GWs may be the only experimental tool to probe these models

$Confinement/deconfinement\ scenarios$

Dark Axion:

- $SU(3) \text{ YM}_{QCD} + SU(N) \text{ YM}_{dark} + 4 \text{ flavours [Kim '79, Kaplan '85]}$
- Composite axion from anomalous $U(1)_A$
- Confinement $PT \rightarrow Peccei-Quinn PT$
- Flavours as D8-branes in probe approximation ($\lambda \lesssim \sqrt{3}N$)
- Axion decay constant

$$f_a = rac{1}{3\pi^2} \sqrt{rac{\lambda}{N}} M_{\mathcal{KK}} ~~(\gtrsim 10^8 {
m GeV} ~{
m by} ~{
m phenomenology})$$

• Dynamical scale: $M_{KK} \gtrsim 10^9 \text{GeV}$

Comparison with experimental sensitivity curves



Comparison with experimental sensitivity curves



- Cosmological first-order PTs
- Review of the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto (WSS) model
- Gravitational waves from confinement PT
- Gravitational waves from chiral PT

- Cosmological first-order PTs
- Review of the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto (WSS) model
- Gravitational waves from confinement PT
- Gravitational waves from chiral PT

Chiral symmetry bubbles [Bigazzi, AC, Cotrone, Paredes '20]

In principle: to find bubble-like solution $(x = x(\sigma, y))$ from

$$S_{DBI} = \frac{NT^{3}\lambda^{3}}{486M_{KK}^{3}} \int d\sigma dy \, \sigma^{2} y^{5/2} \sqrt{1 + (y^{3} - 1)(\partial_{y}x)^{2} + (\partial_{\sigma}x)^{2}}$$

Extremely hard task!

Effective variational approach

- Test for vacuum solutions
- Ansatz for brane profile:

$$x(y) = \frac{\tilde{L}}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{y-y_J}}{\sqrt{B}}\right)$$

- Disconnected conf: B
 ightarrow 0, $y_J = 1$
- Impressive fit of the exact profiles



Chiral symmetry bubbles [Bigazzi, AC, Cotrone, Paredes '20]

We promote y_J and B to functions of radial coordinate σ

$$x(y,\sigma) = \frac{\tilde{L}}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{y - y_{J,tv} + (y_{J,tv} - 1)\alpha(\sigma)}}{\sqrt{B_{tv}(1 - \alpha(\sigma))}}\right)$$



Chiral symmetry breaking/restoration scenarios

Dark QCD 2:

- SU(N) Yang-Mills + N_f flavours
- Non-antipodal configuration: $f_{\chi} \gg M_{KK}$
- Two separated first-order PTs
- Two-peaks GW spectrum

HoloAxion:

- SU(3) Yang-Mills + 6 antipodal flavours + 1 non-antipodal flavour
- Composite axion from chiral symmetry breaking
- Dynamical scale: $f_a \gtrsim 10^8$ GeV
- Gauge sectors describes QCD:

$$\lambda = 33.26$$
 $M_{KK} = 0.949 \, {\rm GeV}$

Chiral symmetry breaking/restoration scenarios

Dark QCD 2:

- SU(N) Yang-Mills + N_f flavours
- Non-antipodal configuration: $f_{\chi} \gg M_{KK}$
- Two separated first-order PTs
- Two-peaks GW spectrum

HoloAxion:

- SU(3) Yang-Mills + 6 antipodal flavours + 1 non-antipodal flavour
- Composite axion from chiral symmetry breaking
- Dynamical scale: $f_a \gtrsim 10^8 \text{ GeV}$
- Gauge sectors describes QCD:

$$\lambda = 33.26$$
 $M_{KK} = 0.949 \,\,{
m GeV}$

Comparison with experimental sensitivity curves



- GWs allows us to probe beyond Standard Model physics
- We used the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model to describe dark sectors
- Effective approach for studying first-order PTs
- Dark QCD 1,2 and Dark Glueball scenarios expected to be detectable in near-future experiments

For the future:

- QCD at large baryon density
- Applications to quark-gluon-plasma physics

- GWs allows us to probe beyond Standard Model physics
- We used the Witten-Sakai-Sugimoto model to describe dark sectors
- Effective approach for studying first-order PTs
- Dark QCD 1,2 and Dark Glueball scenarios expected to be detectable in near-future experiments

For the future:

- QCD at large baryon density
- Applications to quark-gluon-plasma physics

Thank you for your attention!

Backup slides

Formulae for the spectra from collisions:

$$h^{2}\Omega_{c}(f) \sim 1.67 * 10^{-5} \left(\frac{\beta}{H_{*}}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{\kappa\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{100}{g_{*}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{0.48v^{3}}{1+5.3v^{2}+5v^{4}}\right) S_{env}(f)$$

$$S_{env}(f) \sim \left[0.064 \left(\frac{f}{f_{env}} \right)^{-3} + 0.456 \left(\frac{f}{f_{env}} \right)^{-1} + 0.48 \left(\frac{f}{f_{env}} \right) \right]$$

$$f_{env} \sim 16.5 * 10^{-6} \text{Hz} \left(\frac{f_*}{\beta} \right) \left(\frac{\beta}{H_*} \right) \left(\frac{T_*}{100 \text{GeV}} \right) \left(\frac{g_*}{100} \right)^{1/6}$$

where

$$\frac{f_*}{\beta} \sim \frac{0.35}{1 + 0.069\nu + 0.69\nu^4}$$

v = average velocity of the bubbles

$$H_*$$
 = Hubble scale at percolation temperature T_p

 g_* = number of relativistic degrees of freedom at T_p

Alessio Caddeo

Backup slides

Formulae for the spectra from sound waves:

$$h^{2}\Omega_{sw}(f) \sim 8.5 \cdot 10^{-6} \left(\frac{\beta}{H_{*}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\kappa_{v}\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{100}{g_{*}}\right)^{1/3} v S_{sw}(f)$$

$$S_{sw}(f) \sim \left(\frac{f}{f_{sw}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{7}{4+3(f/f_{sw})^2}\right)^{7/2}$$

$$f_{sw} \sim 8.9 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{Hz} \frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{\beta}{H_*}\right) \left(\frac{z_p}{10}\right) \left(\frac{T_*}{100 \text{GeV}}\right) \left(\frac{g_*}{100}\right)^{1/6}$$

If duration of source is short, we multiply by

$$(8\pi)^{1/3} \nu \left(\frac{\beta}{H_*}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\kappa_v \alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{-1/2}$$

Efficiency factor given by $\kappa_{\rm v} = \alpha/(0.73 + 0.083\sqrt{\alpha} + \alpha)$