

v Electroweak Baryogenesis

In collaboration with E. Fernández-Martínez, J. López-Pavón & T. Ota based on JHEP 10 (2020) 063



This project has received support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska -Curie grant agreement No 860881-HIDDeN

Salvador Rosauro-Alcaraz, 24/01/22







Planck Collaboration, arXiv:1807.06209

$$Y_B^{obs} = \frac{n_b - n_{\bar{b}}}{s} \simeq (8.59 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-5}$$





Generation of a BAU

•C and CP violation



CP violation from CKM matrix

Generation of a BAU

•C and CP violation



CP violation from CKM matrix

Generation of a BAU

•C and CP violation

•B violation



CP violation from CKM matrix

B + L violation from sphalerons Kuzmin, Rubakov & Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. 155B (1985) 36

Generation of a BAU

•C and CP violation

•B violation



CP violation from CKM matrix

B + L violation from sphalerons Kuzmin, Rubakov & Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. 155B (1985) 36

Generation of a BAU

•C and CP violation

•B violation

Out-of-equilibrium conditions



CP violation from CKM matrix

B + L violation from sphalerons Kuzmin, Rubakov & Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. 155B (1985) 36

1st order phase transition

Generation of a BAU

•C and CP violation

•B violation

Out-of-equilibrium conditions



Introduction

Baryon asymmetry of the Universe

Electroweak baryogenesis

Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys B287 (1987)

CP violation from CKM matrix

B+L violation from sphalerons Kuzmin, Rubakov & Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. 155B (1985) 36

1st order phase transition

Electroweak baryogenesis

Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys B287 (1987)

CP violation from CKM matrix

B + L violation from sphalerons Kuzmin, Rubakov & Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. 155B (1985) 36

1st order phase transition





Electroweak baryogenesis

Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys B287 (1987)

CP violation from CKM matrix

M. B. Gavela, P. Hernandez, J. Orloff & O. Pene, arXiv:hep-ph/9312215

M. B. Gavela, P. Hernandez, J. Orloff, O. Pene & C: Quimbay, arXiv:hep-ph/9406289

B + L violation from sphalerons

Kuzmin, Rubakov & Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. 155B (1985) 36

1st order phase transition

K. Kajantie, M. Laine, K. Rummukainen, & M. E. Shaposhnikov, arXiv:hep-ph/9605288





Electroweak baryogenesis with new physics

Electroweak baryogenesis

Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys B287 (1987)

CP violation from CKM matrix

B + L violation from sphalerons

Kuzmin, Rubakov & Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. 155B (1985) 36

1^{*st*} order phase transition



M. Dine, P. Huet, R. L. Sigleton, Jr & L. Susskind, Phys. Lett. B257 (1991) J. R. Espinosa, T. Konstandin & F. Riva, arXiv: 1107.5441



Electroweak baryogenesis with new physics

Electroweak baryogenesis

Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys B287 (1987)

CP violation from CKM matrix

B + L violation from sphalerons

Kuzmin, Rubakov & Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. 155B (1985) 36

1^{SI} order phase transition

New sources of CP violation



M. Dine, P. Huet, R. L. Sigleton, Jr & L. Susskind, Phys. Lett. B257 (1991) J. R. Espinosa, T. Konstandin & F. Riva, arXiv: 1107.5441



Bounds on new CP violation



 $|d_e|$

G. Panico, M. Riembau, T. Vantalon, arXiv:1712.06337



Tight bounds from the electron's EDM

$$< 1.1 \times 10^{-29} e \cdot cm$$

E. Hall, T. Konstandin, R. McGehee, H. Murayama & G. Servant, arXiv: 1910.08068 M. Carena, M. Quirós & Y. Zhang, arXiv: 1811.09719

ACME Collaboration, Nature 562 (2018)



Bounds on new CP violation



 $|d_e|$

Rely on some dark sector to introduce new CP violation

 ν do not couple to γ

Tight bounds from the electron's EDM

$$< 1.1 \times 10^{-29} e \cdot cm$$

E. Hall, T. Konstandin, R. McGehee, H. Murayama & G. Servant, arXiv: 1910.08068 M. Carena, M. Quirós & Y. Zhang, arXiv: 1811.09719

ACME Collaboration, Nature 562 (2018)



Electroweak baryogenesis and low-scale seesaws

First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi, H^{\dagger} H)$



Electroweak baryogenesis and low-scale seesaws

First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c - V(\phi, H^{\dagger} H)$

Trigger strong 1st order phase transition



Electroweak baryogenesis and low-scale seesaws

First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \mathfrak{I} - \overline{L}_L Y_{\nu} \widetilde{H} N_R - \overline{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi, H^{\dagger} H)$

Large mixing and CPV

Trigger strong 1st order phase transition



v masses in low-scale seesaws First proposed in $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi, H^{\dagger} H)$ P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227



 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{\nu}_I m_D N_R - \bar{N}_L M N_R + h.c.$

v masses in low-scale seesaws First proposed in $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi, H^{\dagger} H)$ P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227



 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{\nu}_I m_D N_R - \bar{N}_I M N_R + h.c.$



Bounded by EW precision and flavour observables

E. Fernandez-Martinez, J. Hernandez & J. Lopez-Pavon, arXiv: 1605.08774



 ν masses in low-scale seesaws First proposed in $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi, H^{\dagger} H)$ P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227



Explain light ν masses



M. Malinsky et al., arXiv:0506296

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{\nu}_I m_D N_R - \bar{N}_I M N_R + h.c.$



Bounded by EW precision and flavour observables

E. Fernandez-Martinez, J. Hernandez & J. Lopez-Pavon, arXiv: 1605.08774

 $m_{\nu} \sim \mu_L \theta^2$



First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L q$

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{\nu}_L m_D N$

 $\delta_{CP} \propto (M_1^2 - M_2^2)(M_2^2 - M_3^2)(M_2^2 - M_3^2)(M_3^2 - M_3^2))$

$$\phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi, H^{\dagger}H)$$

$$V_R - \bar{N}_L M N_R + h \cdot c$$
.

$$M_3^2 - M_1^2) Im \left[(\theta^{\dagger} \theta)_{12} (\theta^{\dagger} \theta)_{23} (\theta^{\dagger} \theta)_{31} \right]$$

CP violation in low-scale seesaws First proposed in $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_{I}Y_{I}HN_{R} - \bar{N}_{I}\phi Y_{N}N_{R} + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi, H^{\dagger}H)$ P. Hernandez & N. Rius,

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{\nu}_I m_D N_R - N_I M N_R + h.c.$

 $\delta_{CP} \propto (M_1^2 - M_2^2)(M_2^2 - M_3^2)(M_3^2 - M_1^2)Im \left[(\theta^{\dagger}\theta)_{12}(\theta^{\dagger}\theta)_{23}(\theta^{\dagger}\theta)_{31}\right]$

Hierarchical heavy neutrinos

arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

CP violation in low-scale seesaws First proposed in $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} H N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi, H^{\dagger}H)$ P. Hernandez & N. Rius,

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{\nu}_I m_D N_R - N_I M N_R + h.c.$

 $\delta_{CP} \propto (M_1^2 - M_2^2)(M_2^2 - M_3^2)(M_3^2 - M_1^2)Im \left[(\theta^{\dagger}\theta)_{12}(\theta^{\dagger}\theta)_{23}(\theta^{\dagger}\theta)_{31}\right]$

Hierarchical heavy neutrinos

arXiv: hep-ph/9611227



Avoid electric dipole moment bounds

A. Abada & T. Toma, arXiv: 1605.07643



First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_I Y_I \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_I q$

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{\nu}_I m_D N$



$$\phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi, H^{\dagger}H)$$

$$V_R - \bar{N}_L M N_R + h \cdot c$$
.

$\delta_{CP} \propto (M_1^2 - M_2^2)(M_2^2 - M_3^2)(M_3^2 - M_1^2)Im \left[(\theta^{\dagger}\theta)_{12}(\theta^{\dagger}\theta)_{23}(\theta^{\dagger}\theta)_{31}\right]$

$$s \sim \frac{\delta_{CP}}{T^6}$$

First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_I Y_{,} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_I \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi, H^{\dagger} H)$

 $m_D \equiv U_l m_d V_R^{\dagger}$

Unphysical when neglecting charged lepton masses

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{\nu}_I m_D N_R - N_I M N_R + h.c.$

 $\delta_{CP} \propto (M_1^2 - M_2^2)(M_2^2 - M_3^2)(M_3^2 - M_1^2)Im \left[(\theta^{\dagger}\theta)_{12}(\theta^{\dagger}\theta)_{23}(\theta^{\dagger}\theta)_{31}\right]$

First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_{I}Y_{I}\tilde{H}N_{R} - \bar{N}_{I}q$

 $\mathcal{L} \supset -\bar{\nu}_L m_D N_L$

 $\delta_{CP} \propto (M_1^2 - M_2^2)(M_2^2 - M_3^2)(M_2^2 - M_3^2)(M_3^2 - M_3^2))$

 $m_D \equiv U_l m_d V_R^{\dagger}$

$$\phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V\left(\phi, H^{\dagger}H\right)$$

$$V_R - \bar{N}_L M N_R + h \cdot c$$
.

$$M_3^2 - M_1^2) Im \left[(\theta^{\dagger} \theta)_{12} (\theta^{\dagger} \theta)_{23} (\theta^{\dagger} \theta)_{31} \right]$$

$$Tr\left[\theta\theta^{\dagger}\right] = Tr\left[m_d^2 V_R^{\dagger} M^{-2} V_R\right]$$

Electroweak baryogenesis and low-scale seesaw

First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi, H^{\dagger} H)$

Symmetric phase

 N_R

 $V_H = 0$



Broken phase

 $V_H \neq 0$



 $z = \delta_W$



Electroweak baryogenesis and low-scale seesaw

First proposed in

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $\mathscr{L} \supset -\bar{L}_L Y_{\nu} \tilde{H} N_R - \bar{N}_L \phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi, H^{\dagger} H)$

 $\psi = e^{-iEt} \begin{pmatrix} L(z) \\ R(z) \end{pmatrix} \bigotimes \chi_s$









Electroweak baryogenesis and low-scale seesaw

$$\phi Y_N N_R + h \cdot c \cdot - V(\phi, H^{\dagger}H)$$

phase
$$\psi = e^{-iEt} \begin{pmatrix} L(z) \\ R(z) \end{pmatrix} \otimes \chi_s$$

$$|\mathcal{R}^{u}|^{2} + |\mathcal{T}^{u}|^{2} = 1$$

$$z \to \infty$$

 \mathcal{N}_{i}



Vev profiles in the bubble wall







 $\theta(z) = \frac{v_H(z)}{v_\phi(z)} \frac{Y_\nu}{\sqrt{2}Y_N}$

Vev profiles in the bubble wall





CP asymmetries





M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & N. Turok, arXiv: hep-ph/9410281

$$D_B \partial_z^2 n_B - v_W \partial_z n_B - 3\Gamma_S$$

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

$_{S}\mathcal{H}(-z)n_{B}-\Gamma_{S}\mathcal{H}(-z)n_{L}=0$ $D_L \partial_z^2 n_L - v_W \partial_z n_L - \Gamma_S \mathcal{H}(-z) n_L - 3\Gamma_S \mathcal{H}(-z) n_B = \xi_L j_L \partial_z \delta(z)$

M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & N. Turok, arXiv: hep-ph/9410281

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

Follow the total *B* and *L* asymmetries and their conversion through sphalerons

$D_B \partial_z^2 n_B - v_W \partial_z n_B - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L = 0$ $D_L \partial_z^2 n_L - v_W \partial_z n_L - \Gamma_S \mathcal{H}(-z) n_L - 3\Gamma_S \mathcal{H}(-z) n_R = \xi_L j_L \partial_z \delta(z)$

M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & arXiv: hep-ph/9410281

$$\begin{split} D_B \partial_z^2 n_B &- v_W \partial_z n_B - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L = 0\\ \partial_z^2 n_L &- v_W \partial_z n_L - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B = \xi \int_U \partial_z \delta(z)\\ & \text{Follow the total } B \text{ and } L \text{ asymmetries}\\ & \text{and their conversion through sphalerons} \end{split}$$

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{D}_{B}\partial_{z}^{2}n_{B} - v_{W}\partial_{z}n_{B} - 3\Gamma_{S}\mathscr{H}(-z)n_{B} - \Gamma_{S}\mathscr{H}(-z)n_{L} = 0 \\ & \mathcal{D}_{L}\partial_{z}^{2}n_{L} - v_{W}\partial_{z}n_{L} - \Gamma_{S}\mathscr{H}(-z)n_{L} - 3\Gamma_{S}\mathscr{H}(-z)n_{B} = \xi_{i}j_{U}\partial_{z}\delta(z) \\ & \text{Follow the total } B \text{ and } L \text{ asymmetries and their conversion through sphalerons} \\ & = \frac{1}{\gamma}\sum_{i,a}\int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left\{ \Delta \mathscr{T}^{b}(N_{i} \to \nu_{La}) \frac{|p_{zi}^{b}|}{E_{i}^{b}} f_{i}^{b}(p_{i}^{b}) + \Delta \mathscr{R}^{u}(N_{Ri} \to \nu_{La}) \frac{|p_{zi}^{u}|}{E_{i}^{u}} f_{i}^{u}(p_{i}^{u}) \right\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{In Turok,} \quad D_B \partial_z^2 n_B - \nu_W \partial_z n_B - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L = 0 \\ & D_L \partial_z^2 n_L - \nu_W \partial_z n_L - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B = \xi_{ij} j_{ij} \partial_z \delta(z) \\ & \text{Follow the total } B \text{ and } L \text{ asymmetries and their conversion through sphalerons} \\ & j_{\nu} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{i,\alpha} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \bigg\{ \Delta \mathscr{T}^b(N_i \to \nu_{L\alpha}) \frac{|p_{zi}^b|}{E_i^b} f_i^b(p_i^b) + \Delta \mathscr{R}^u(N_{Ri} \to \nu_{L\alpha}) \frac{|p_{zi}^u|}{E_i^u} f_i^u(p_i^u) \bigg\} \end{split}$$

M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & N. Turok, arXiv: hep-ph/9410281

$$D_B \partial_z^2 n_B - v_W \partial_z n_B - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L = 0$$
$$D_L \partial_z^2 n_L - v_W \partial_z n_L - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_L - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B = \xi_L j_\nu \partial_z \delta(z)$$

P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227

 $B \propto \Gamma_S v_W \xi_L$

$$j_{\nu} \rightarrow Y_B = \frac{B}{s(T_c)}$$

M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & N. Turok, arXiv: hep-ph/9410281 $D_B \partial_z^2 n_B - v_W \partial_z n_B - 3\Gamma_{S'}$ P. Hernandez & N. Rius, arXiv: hep-ph/9611227 $D_L \partial_z^2 n_L - v_W \partial_z n_L - \Gamma_S \mathcal{H}(-z)$ $B \propto \Gamma_S v_W \xi_L$

$$\mathcal{H}(-z)n_B - \Gamma_S \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{P}_L = 0$$

$$z)n_L - \mathcal{H}_S \mathcal{H}(-z)n_B = \xi_L j_\nu \partial_z \delta(z)$$

$$j_{\nu} \rightarrow Y_B = \frac{B}{s(T_c)}$$

Flavoured CP asymmetries





Strong GIM cancellation when summing over flavours

$$\sum_{i} \Delta \mathscr{R}^{u} \left(N_{Ri} \to \nu_{L\alpha} \right) \sim \int_{z} \sum_{i,j,\beta} f(z) m_{d_{\alpha}}^{2} Im \left(V_{Ri\alpha} V_{Ri\beta}^{*} V_{Rj\beta} V_{Rj\beta}^{*} V_{Rj\beta$$



M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & N. Turok, arXiv: hep-ph/9410281 $\frac{\Gamma_{\tau}}{T} \sim 0.28 \alpha_{V}$

Safe to neglect the wash-out with the τ

$$_W Y_\tau^2 \ll \frac{\Gamma_S}{T} = 9\kappa \alpha_W^5$$

M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & N. Turok, arXiv: hep-ph/9410281 $\frac{\Gamma_{\tau}}{T} \sim 0.28 \alpha_{\rm W}$

Safe to neglect the wash-out with the τ

$$\frac{\Gamma_{N_{Ri}\nu_{L\alpha}}}{T} \sim \frac{1}{128\pi} \left(Y_t^2 + Y_b^2 \right) |(Y_{\nu})_{\alpha i}|^2 \sim 0.0024 |\theta_{\alpha i}|^2 \frac{2M_i^2}{v_H^2}$$

$$_W Y_\tau^2 \ll \frac{\Gamma_S}{T} = 9\kappa \alpha_W^5$$

M. Joyce, T. Prokopec & N. Turok, arXiv: hep-ph/9410281 $\frac{\Gamma_{\tau}}{T} \sim 0.28 \alpha_{\rm W}$

Safe to neglect the wash-out with the τ

$$\frac{\Gamma_{N_{Ri}\nu_{L\alpha}}}{T} \sim \frac{1}{128\pi} \left(Y_t^2 + Y_b^2 \right) |(Y_\nu)_{\alpha i}|^2 \sim 0.0024 |\theta_{\alpha i}|^2 \frac{2M_i^2}{v_H^2}$$

$$M_i \gtrsim 200 \ GeV$$

$$_W Y_\tau^2 \ll \frac{\Gamma_S}{T} = 9\kappa \alpha_W^5$$

We need to include the wash-out from the RH neutrinos



 $D_B \partial_z^2 n_B - v_W \partial_z n_B - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B - \Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) \sum n_{\nu_\alpha} = 0$ α

 $\mathsf{SM}\,\nu \quad D_L \partial_z^2 n_{\nu_\alpha} - \nu_W \partial_z n_{\nu_\alpha} - 3\Gamma_S \mathscr{H}(-z) n_B - \Gamma$

 N_{R}

 $D_{Ri}\partial_z^2 n_{\nu_{N_{Ri}}} - \nu_W \partial_z n_{\nu_{N_{Ri}}} + \sum$

$$\Gamma_{S}\mathscr{H}(-z)\sum_{\beta}n_{\nu_{\beta}}-\sum_{i}\Gamma_{N_{Ri}\nu_{\alpha}}\left(\frac{1}{2}n_{\nu_{\alpha}}-n_{N_{Ri}}\right)=\xi_{L}j_{\nu_{\alpha}}\partial_{z}$$

$$\sum_{\alpha} \Gamma_{N_{Ri}\nu_{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{2} n_{\nu_{\alpha}} - n_{N_{Ri}} \right) = \xi_{Ri} j_{N_{Ri}} \partial_{z} \delta(z)$$









- Breaking of GIM cancellation
- Introduction of N_R asymmetry, which diffuse more than ν_L

$$N_R \to \nu_L \to B$$









Effect of scalar vevs



$$v_H(z)/v_H = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \tanh\left(\frac{z - (5/\xi) \,\delta_W/2}{\delta_W}\right) \right]$$
$$v_\phi(z)/v_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \tanh\left(\frac{z - \delta_W/2}{\delta_W}\right) \right]$$

25 1.50

Effect of scalar vevs



Low-scale neutrino mass mechanism could help in the generation of the BAU

- Flavour effects play a crucial role in generating the correct BAU

Low-scale neutrino mass mechanism could help in the generation of the BAU

- Flavour effects play a crucial role in generating the correct BAU
- active neutrinos \rightarrow In reach for colliders

Low-scale neutrino mass mechanism could help in the generation of the BAU

• Explain the **BAU** with states with $M \sim 100 GeV$ which significantly mix with

- Low-scale neutrino mass mechanism could help in the generation of the BAU
- Flavour effects play a crucial role in generating the correct BAU
- Explain the **BAU** with states with $M \sim 100 \ GeV$ which significantly mix with active neutrinos \rightarrow In reach for colliders
- Still need to study scalar potential and could improve BAU calculation



Back up slides







Bound on θ if avoiding the invisible width of the Z boson



E. Fernandez-Martinez, J. Hernandez & J. Lopez-Pavon, arXiv: 1605.08774

Add real scalar singlet

Espinosa, Konstandin & Riva, arXiv: 1107.5441

$$V(\phi, H^{\dagger}H) = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_{h}^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{h}(H^{\dagger}H)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{\phi}^{2}\phi$$

 $\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\phi}\phi^{4} + \frac{1}{4}\mu_{m}\phi H^{\dagger}H + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{m}\phi^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \mu_{1}^{3}\phi + \frac{1}{3}\mu_{3}\phi^{3}$



Add real scalar singlet

Espinosa, Konstandin & Riva, arXiv: 1107.5441

$$V(\phi, H^{\dagger}H) = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_{h}^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{h}(H^{\dagger}H)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{\phi}^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\phi}\phi^{4} + \frac{1}{4}\mu_{m}\phi H^{\dagger}H + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{m}\phi^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \mu_{1}^{3}\phi + \frac{1}{3}\mu_{\phi}^{3}\phi^{4} + \frac{1}{4}\mu_{m}\phi H^{\dagger}H + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{m}\phi^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \mu_{1}^{3}\phi + \frac{1}{3}\mu_{\phi}^{3}\phi^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \frac{1}{4}\mu_{m}\phi^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \frac{1}{4}\mu_{m}$$



Add real scalar singlet

Espinosa, Konstandin & Riva, arXiv: 1107.5441

$$V(\phi, H^{\dagger}H) = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_{h}^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{h}(H^{\dagger}H)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{\phi}^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\phi}\phi^{4} + \frac{1}{4}\mu_{m}\phi H^{\dagger}H + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{m}\phi^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \mu_{1}^{3}\phi + \frac{1}{3}\lambda_{m}\phi^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \mu_{1}^{3}\phi^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \mu_{1}^{3}H^{\dagger}H + \mu_{1}^{3}H^{\dagger}$$

After SSB $\rightarrow \langle H \rangle$

Study T dependence in the mean field approximation

T_c when $V(0,0,T_c) = V(v_H(T_c), v_\phi(T_c), T_c)$







 $T_c \sim 115~{\rm GeV}$

63





64





Absolute minimum at $\left(v_{H}^{exp}, 830 \text{ GeV}\right)$





Compare $\Gamma_n \propto e^{-S_3/T}$ with the expansion rate H(T)



Compare $\Gamma_n \propto e^{-S_3/T}$ with the expansion rate H(T)

Bubbles nucleate at $T_n < T_c$ when $S_3/T_n \sim 140$



Baum *et al.*, arXiv.2009.10743



Compare $\Gamma_n \propto e^{-S_3/T}$ with the expansion rate H(T)

Baum *et al.*, arXiv.2009.10743



Compare $\Gamma_n \propto e^{-S_3/T}$ with the expansion rate H(T)





