Cosmic QCD epoch at large lepton flavour asymmetries

Isabel M. Oldengott

Bielefeld University

based on: arXiv: 2309.00672, 2106.11991, 2011.07283, 2009.00036, 1807.10815

with D. Bödeker, F. Gao, J. Harz, C. Hati, F. Kühnel, M. Middeldorf-Wygas, Y. Lu, D. J. Schwarz, G. White





M. Stuke, D. Schwarz (2009), K. Zarembo (2000)

Baryon asymmetry of Universe : $b = \frac{n_{\rm B}}{s} = (8.70 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-11}$ (*Planck* 2018)

 \rightarrow well measured, but poorly understood...

Tiny, but why so big?

→ Baryogenesis, Leptogenesis

Leptogenesis: 1.) Mechanism for creation of lepton asymmetry

2.) Sphaleron processes transfer lepton asymmetry to baryon asymmetry

→ standard assumption:

lepton asymmetry ≈ baryon asymmetry (i. e. tiny)

Possible caveats?

- sphaleron processes experimentally not confirmed
- suppress sphaleron processes? (G. Barenboim, W. Park 2017; C. Hati et al. arXiv:2309.00672 → later)
- create large lepton asymmetry at later times, when sphaleron processes are inefficient (Drewes et al. 2021; Canetti et al. 2012; Affleck-Dine mechanism; Barbieri & Dolgov 1991; ...)

Lepton asymmetry = key parameter for origin of matter-antimatter asymmetry

What do we know about the lepton asymmetry of our Universe? charge neutrality:

→ possibly **hidden** in cosmic neutrino background $(T_{\nu} = 1.9 \text{ K})$

 \rightarrow no direct measurement possible



Impact on cosmological observables?



<u>Hint towards positive lepton asymmetry???</u> (Escudero et al. 2022, Burns et 11. 2022) Recent He4 measurements (EMPRESS survey) $\rightarrow \eta_l = 0.011 \pm 0.008 (95\% CL)$

Impact of neutrino oscillations:

(A. D. Dolgov et al. (2002), Y.Y.Y. Wong (2002), G. Mangano et al. (2011), L. Johns et al. (2016), G. Barenboim et al. (2016), J. Froustey & C. Pitrou (2022))

Neutrino oscillations at T~10 MeV \rightarrow Equilibration of lepton flavour asymmetries:



Agnostic point of view: lepton asymmetries = free parameters for cosmology

<u>QCD epoch</u>: How to compute the cosmic trajectory Some new-physics's scale where lepton asymmetry gets produced Conservation laws (at 10 MeV $< T < T_{BSM}$): Free input parameters 1.) Lepton number: $l_{\alpha}s = n_{\alpha} + n_{\nu_{\alpha}}, \alpha = e, \mu, \tau$ 3 input parameters, 5 equations, 5 variables 2.) Baryon number: $bs = \sum B_i n_i^{\diamond} B_i n_i^{\diamond} P_i$ $\mu_{\mathrm{L}_e}, \mu_{\mathrm{L}_{\mu}}, \mu_{\mathrm{L}_{\tau}}, \mu_{\mathrm{B}}, \mu_{\mathrm{Q}}$ 3.) Electric charge: $qs = \sum_{i} Q_{i} n_{i}^{\circ} \frac{Q_{i}(c_{harge})}{P_{eutral}}$ Cosmic trajectory = solution for different temperatures T $\mu_{L_{\alpha}} = \mu_{\nu_{\alpha}},$ + relations for chemical pot.: $\mu_B = \mu_u + 2\mu_d,$ $\mu_u = \mu_c, \ \mu_e - \mu_{\nu_e} = \mu_\mu - \mu_{\nu_u}, \ etc.$ $\mu_Q = \mu_u - \mu_d,$

Why should large lepton asymmetries induce large baryon chemical potentials?

 $l_{\alpha} = \frac{n_{\alpha} + n_{\nu_{\alpha}}}{s} \implies \text{large } l_{e} \text{ induce large electron asymmetry } (\rightarrow \text{ large } \mu_{e})$ $q = 0 = \sum_{i} \frac{Q_{i} n_{i}}{s} \implies \text{needs to be compensated by asymmetry in quark sector } (\rightarrow \text{ large } \mu_{u})$

 $\Rightarrow \mu_B = \mu_u + 2\mu_d$ large baryon chemical potential

How to compute the cosmic trajectory:



Method I: Lattice QCD

Middeldorf-Wygas, IMO, Schwarz, Bödeker (2018 + 2020)

I) High temperatures:

Perturbative QCD (Laine & Schröder 2006)

II) around the QCD transition

Taylor expansion + lattice QCD susceptibilities:

III) Low temperatures:

Hadron resonance gas (equilbrium distributions for hadrons)

Equal lepton flavour asymmetries

M. M. Wygas, IMO, D. Bödeker, D. J. Schwarz, arXiv:1807.10815



 \rightarrow Lepton asymmetry induces large baryon and charge chemical potentials.

 \rightarrow Need to include charm quark in order to smoothly connect different phases.

Unequal lepton flavour asymmetries





(F. Gao & **IMO**, arXiv: 2106.11991)

Thermodynamic quantities derived from Dyson-Schwinger equations (gap equation for the quark propagator) in the rainbow-ladder (RL) truncation



$$\Rightarrow (T_{\text{CEP}}, \mu_{\text{CEP}})_{u/d} = (125, 111) \text{ MeV}$$

F. Gao, J. Chen, Y.-X. Liu, S.-X. Qin, C. D. Roberts, S. M. Schmidt (2015), <u>*arXiv:1507.00875*</u> Include thermodynamic quantities into calculation of cosmic trajectory:



Discontinuity between $l_u = -0.06$ and $l_u = -0.07 \rightarrow 1$ st order transition !

→ Have calculated the required lepton asymmetries for several scenarios: For equal lepton asymmetries a first-order QCD transition is excluded by CMB/BBN

→ Other scenarios fulfill CMB/BBN bounds and can lead to a first-order QCD transition

Method IIa has provided the **first proof-of-principle** of the possibility of a first-order cosmic QCD transition due to large lepton asymmetries.

But the applied Rainbow-Ladder truncation turns out to be not good enough.

Method IIb: functional QCD + Ising mapping

(F. Gao., J. Harz, C. Hati, Y. Lu, IMO, G. White, arXiv: 2309.00672, arXiv:2403.XXXX)

What's new?

1) Apply an improved truncation. (F. Gao, J. Pawlowski, 2021)

 \rightarrow consistent with low- μ results from lattice QCD (cross-over temperature and phase transition line)

 \rightarrow predicts CEP at (T_{CEP}, μ_{CEP})_{u/d} =(118, 200) MeV (instead of (125, 111) MeV with RL)

Lepton asymmetries required for 1st order transition were underestimated.

2) Apply an analytical Ising parameterization for the dynamical quark mass. \rightarrow derive thermodynamic quantities (*Y. Lu et al., arXiv:2310.16345*)

Comparison between different methods



Method IIb has improved agreement to hadron resonance gas model significantly.

For small values of the lepton asymmetries method I) (lattice QCD based) is more reliable but cannot be extended to region of 1st order transition.

Method IIa) and IIb) (functional QCD based) reveal that the cosmic QCD transition can be first order IF lepton flavour asymmetries are unequal.

Method IIb) also qualitatively agrees with method I).

Cosmological consequences?

• Pion condensate in the early Universe (V. Vovchenko et al. (2020), arXiv:2009.02309)

• Equation of state impacts formation of primordial black holes

(D. Bödeker, IMO et al. ArXiv:2011.07283, V. Vovchenko et al. (2020), arXiv:2009.02309)

- Impact on the primordial GW spectrum (F. Hajkarim, arXiv:1904.01046)
 - First-order QCD transition leads to additional emission of GWs (F. Gao., J. Harz, C. Hati, Y. Lu, IMO, G. White, arXiv: 2309.00672)

Independent of the nature of the transition Recent application: Sphaleron freeze-in and GW signal

Can lepton asymmetries lead to successful baryogenesis, induce a first-order QCD transition and lead to a detectable GW imprint???

(F. Gao., J. Harz, C. Hati, Y. Lu, **IMO**, G. White, arXiv: 2309.00672)

Large lepton asymmetries can lead to a nonrestoration of the electroweak symmetry → suppress sphaleron rate → lead to the right amount of baryon asymmetry, successful baryogenesis





- Lepton flavour asymmetries before ~ 10 MeV are almost unconstrained and have an impact on the cosmic trajectory during the QCD epoch.
- \rightarrow Two different methods to calculate cosmic trajectory:

I) Lattice QCD susceptibilities II) functional QCD

- For small values of the lepton asymmetries method I) is more reliable but cannot be extended to region of 1st order transition → method II)
- Method II) reveals that the cosmic QCD transition can be first order IF lepton flavour asymmetries are unequal.

